

Cindy Kamp Patent Administrator

8000 West Florissant Avenue P.O. Box 4100 St. Louis, MO 63136-8506

September 7, 2005

T (314) 553 2826 F (314) 553 3713 cindy.kamp@emrsn.com

EXTREMELY URGENT AND IMPORTANT SENT BY EXPRESS MAIL

Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313

RE: Receipt of Documents from USPTO NOT Belonging to our Company

Dear Sir:

Under this cover letter, I am returning a document that is NOT Emerson's for the following patent application number:

Type of Document

Patent Application No.

Notice of Office Action Summary

10/695,894

I have been in contact with Miles & Stockbridge law firm. This law firm was identified as the intended recipient of the documents received. The firm confirmed that the paperwork is indeed intended for them. I have sent a copy to their office via fax.

Our customer numbers are similar. Emerson Electric Co.'s is 118 and Miles & Stockbridge is 181. Please correct your records as soon as possible so the proper party can begin receiving their documents. This has been occurring regularly over the last two years. Thank you in advance for your assistance in this matter

Cindy R. Kamp

Patent Administrator

/crk

Cc: Susan Stiles, Miles & Stockbridge via Facsimile

Enclosures: Notice of Office Action Summary

UST 1705 PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,894	10/30/2003	J. Claude Caci	T3497-8770US01	7809
118 7	590 08/23/2005		EXAM	INER
	ELECTRIC CO		EWART,	JAMES D
PATENT DEP 8000 WEST F	ARTMENT STATION 2	RECEIVED	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST. LOUIS, M			2683	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

AUG 2 6 2005

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005

LAW DEPARTMENT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED OIPE/IAP

SEP 0 9 2005

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
0 7 2005 8	10/695,894	CACI, J. CLAUDE
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
DEMART	James D. Ewart	2683
The MAILING DATE of this communication and for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a statutory minimum of thin period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON vistatute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. 3ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
tatus	,	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	1	
•	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a		ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice un		
sposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) 7-17 are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.	. ·
oplication Papers	•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by t		
•		
iority under 35 II S C & 410		
•	and the condition of H O O	S 440(a) (d) a= (5)
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents.	uments have been received.	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have been received. uments have been received in A	Application No
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have beer	Application No
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No I received in this National Stage
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No I received in this National Stage
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No I received in this National Stage
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). a list of the certified copies not	Application No received in this National Stage received.
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). a list of the certified copies not 4) Interview 5 Paper No(Application No I received in this National Stage





PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL

This document contains material which is confidential and subject to the attorney-client and attorney work product privileges. Do not copy or distribute without consulting Emerson's legal counsel.

Fax Cover Sheet

DATE:

August 26, 2005

TIME: 3:51 PM

TO:

Susan Stiles

PHONE:

703-610-8636

Miles & Stockbridge

FAX:

703-610-8686

FROM:

Cindy Kamp

PHONE:

314-553-2826

Emerson Electric Co.

FAX:

314-553-3713

RE:

Office communication

CC:

Number of pages, including cover sheet:

16

Message:

Susan,

I will send the original mail received back to the patent office with a copy to the examiner. Good to talk to you again.

Cindy

ÉMERSON LEGAL DEPT Fax:3145533713

** Transmit Conf.Report **

Aug 26 2005 15:40

Fax/Phone Number	Mode	Start	Time	Page	Result	Note
7036108686	NORMAL	26,15:40	4'33"	16	# O K	Manua l

Art Unit: 2683

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372:
- I. Claims 1-6 are, drawn to sending a chirp-on-demand signal to a mobile station, classified in class 455, subclass 456.2.
- II. Claims 7-17 are, drawn to communication between two base stations, classified in class 455, subclass 561.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions [I] and [II] are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the sending of a chirp-on-demand signal doesn't require a calibrated transmission line between two base stations. The subcombination has separate utility such as a wireless unit with memory/browser arrangement for wireless internet application.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a phone conversation with the Examiner on 08-10-2005 the Applicant, James

Art Unit: 2683

Carmicheal, elected to have group 1 examined.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Forssen et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,031,490) in view of Cahn et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,535,278).

Referring to claim 1, Forssen et al teaches a wireless radio communications system that includes at least one communications tower and a mobile unit configured to transmit a chirp-on-demand signal (Column 4, Lines 40-45 and Figure 5; 507), the system comprising: a base station configured to issue a wireless command to the mobile unit (Figure 6 and Column 10, Lines 52-53), the wireless command instructing the mobile unit to transmit a chirp-on-demand signal (Figure 5; 507 & Column 4, Lines 40-43); and a location receiver operatively connected to the at

Art Unit: 2683

least one communication tower configured to receive the chirp-on-demand signal from the mobile unit for determining a location calculation of the mobile unit (Figures 1 & 2 and Column 4, Lines 45-46 and Column 9, Lines 43-46), but does not teach and decoding the signal. Cahn et al. teaches decoding a signal (Column 2, Lines 28-30 and Column 6, Lines 43-55). Therefore at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Forssen et al. with the teaching of Cahn et al. of decoding a signal to compute the range of the transmitter (Column 2, Lines 30-32).

Referring to claim 2, Forssen et al. teaches a mobile base station for emergencies (Column 12, Line 7), chirp-on-demand (Column 4, Lines 41-44) and location receiver (Column 4, Lines 45-46), but does not teach decoding a signal that is based on a pattern of frequency, amplitude, and timing. Cahn et al. teaches decoding a signal that is based on a pattern of frequency, amplitude, and timing (Column 2, Lines 28-30 and Column 6, Lines 43-55). Therefore at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Forssen et al. with the teaching of Fisch et al. of decoding a signal that is based on a pattern of frequency, amplitude, and timing to to compute the range of the transmitter (Column 2, Lines 30-32).

Referring to claim 3, Forssen et al further teaches wherein the mobile unit is a cellular telephone (Figure 6;108).

Art Unit: 2683

7. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Forssen et al. in view of Friedman (U.S. Patent No. 4,888,595).

Referring to claim 4, Forssen et al teaches a geographically locatable cellular telephone having chirp-on-demand capabilities (Column 4, Lines 40-45 and Figure 5; 507), the geographically locatable cellular telephone (Column 3, Lines 34-41) comprising: a chirp-ondemand instruction receiver integrated in the geographically locatable cellular telephone (Column 10, Lines 62-64), the chirp-on-demand instruction receiver configured to receive and interpret a chirp-on-demand instruction signal transmitted wirelessly from a cellular communications tower (Figure 1, Figure 5, 507 and Column 4, Lines 40-45) to the locatable cellular telephone during a pre-established call requiring geographic location services (Column 12, Lines 6-8) associated with a geographic location of the cellular telephone for the purpose of geographically locating the locatable cellular telephone (Column 4, Lines 55-57); and a transmission modulator integrated in the geographically locatable cellular telephone (Figure 1; 105), but does not teach the transmission modulator configured to modulate in a pattern the frequency, amplitude, and timing of a wireless radio frequency signal emanating from the geographically locatable cellular telephone, the pattern being pre-selected to provide a recognizable signal useful in geographically locating the cellular telephone. Friedman teaches the transmission modulator configured to modulate in a pattern the frequency, amplitude, and timing of a wireless radio frequency signal emanating from the geographically locatable cellular telephone (Column 2, Lines 50-63), the pattern being pre-selected to provide a recognizable signal useful in geographically locating the cellular telephone (Column 4, Lines 65-68).

Art Unit: 2683

Therefore at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the art of Forssen et al with the teaching of Friedman wherein the transmission modulator configured to modulate in a pattern the frequency, amplitude, and timing of a wireless radio frequency signal emanating from the geographically locatable cellular telephone, the pattern being pre-selected to provide a recognizable signal useful in geographically locating the cellular telephone to provide an emergency transmitter in which the signal transmitted identifies the specific vehicle in distress (Column 2, line 1-3).

Referring to claim 5, Forssen et al further teaches wherein the pre-established call is transmitted on a channel separate from the wireless radio frequency signal used to provide a chirp-on-demand signal (Figure 5, 503,505 and 507). If the MS is not using a digital channel during the phone call, the MS is required to send a signal on a digital channel.

Referring to claim 6, Forssen et al further teaches wherein the pre-established call is carried on the wireless radio frequency signal (Figure 1; 108).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 8. disclosure.

Chao et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,314,281 discloses method and apparatus for precisely locating a mobile unit.

Art Unit: 2683

Chen U.S. Patent No. 6,141,558 discloses method and apparatus for locating a subscriber unit in a communication system.

Chiang et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,741,863 method and apparatus for locating a wireless mobile unit.

Dunn et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,873,040 discloses wireless 911 emergency location.

Dupray U.S. Patent No. 6,249,252 discloses wireless location using multiple location estimators.

Karr et al. U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0222820 Wireless location using hybrid techniques.

Liu U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0029558 discloses method and system for determining a location of a wireless transmitting device and guiding the search for the same.

Sanderford et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,917,449 discloses enhanced position calculation.

Yamanaka et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,792,262 discloses mobile system and mobile management system.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James D. Ewart whose telephone number is (571) 272-7864. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7am - 4pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on (571)272-7872. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2683

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571)272-2600.

Ewart

August 17, 2005

WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

SEP 0 7 2005 Notice of References Cited	Application/Control No. 10/695,894	Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination CACI, J. CLAUDE		
Notice of References Cited	Examiner James D. Ewart	Art Unit 2683	Page 1 of 1	

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-6,314,281	11-2001	Chao et al.	455/404.2
	В	US-6,741,863	05-2004	Chiang et al.	455/456.1
	С	US-4,873,520	10-1989	Fisch et al.	340/7.57
	D	US-4,888,595	12-1989	Friedman, Morton L.	342/457
	E	US-2003/0222820	12-2003	Karr et al.	342/457
	F	US-2004/0029558	02-2004	Liu, Hang	455/404.2
	G	US-5,917,449	06-1999	Sanderford et al.	342/457
	Н	US-6,792,262	09-2004	Yamanaka et al.	455/404.2
	1	US-5,535,278	07-1996	Cahn et al.	380/274
	J	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-		·	

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	Q		T _o			
	R					
	s					
	Т					-

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	υ	
	V	
	w	
	×	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

PTC/SB/08a (08-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Substitute for form 1449A/PTO

Sheet

Examiner

Signature

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

(use as many sheets as necessary)

1 of 3

Co	mplete if Known	
Application Number	(To be assigned)	
Filing Date	October 29, 2003	
First Named Inventor	J. Claude Caci	
Art Unit	(To be assigned)	
Examiner Name	(To be assigned)	
Attorney Docket Number	T3497-8770US01	

			U.S. PAT	TENT DOCUMENTS	
Examiner Initials	Cite No.1	Number Kind Code	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Retevant Passages or Retevant Figures Appear
SPE		US- 5,432,841	07-11-1995	Rimer	
€ ند		US- 5,701,328	12-23-1997	Schuchman et al.	
30%		US- 5,758,288	05-26-1998	Dunn et al.	
jol		US- 5,767,788	06-16-1998	Ness	
100		US- 5,815,814	09-29-1998	Dennison et al.	
750		US- 5,873,040	02-16-1999	Dunn et al.	
jûz		US- 5,945,919	08-31-1999	Trask	
7:25		US- 6,026,304	02-15-2000	Hilsenrath et al.	
105		US- 6,031,490	02-29-2000	Forssen et al.	
100		US- 6,083,248	07-04-2000	Thompson	
308		US- 6,084,547·	07-04-2000	Sanderford et al.	
JDE		US- 6,112,095	08-29-2000	Wax et al.	
JAS		US- 6,141,558	10-31-2000	Chen	
ILE		US- 6,236,365 B1	05-22-2001	LeBlanc et al.	
100		US- 6,240,365 B1	05-29-2001	Bunn	
705		US- 6,249,252 BI	06-19-2001	Dupray	
SOE		US- 6,288,676 BI	09-11-2001	Maloncy	
1,05		US- 6,329,904 B1	12-11-2001	Lamb	
JUE		US- 5,631,642	05-20-1997	Brockelsby et al.	
JOE		US- 6,421,009 B2	07-16-2002	Suprunov	

				FORE	IGN PATENT DOC	CUMENTS		
		F	oreign Patent Do	cument	Publication Date	Name of Patentee or	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant	
Examiner Initials	Cite No. ¹	Office ³	Number ⁴	Kind ⁵ (if known)	MM-DD-YYYY	Applicant of Cited Document	Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	T⁵
								丄
								1
<u> </u>		 					*	\top
		 						
								T
								\top
		 -			-			
H								
-	1	 						\top
\vdash								十一

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. ¹ Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ² See Kinds Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. ³ Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ⁴For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁵Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. ⁴Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

Date

Considered

-11-2005

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Substitu	ute for form 1449A/P	סזי		Complete if Known			
				Application Number	(To be assigned)		
INF	ORMATIO.	N DIS	SCLOSURE	Filing Date	October 29, 2003		
STA	TEMENT	BY A	PPLICANT	First Named Inventor	J. Claude Caci		
4.7				Art Unit	(To be assigned)		
	(use as many si	heets as	necessary)	Examiner Name	(To be assigned)		
Sheet	2	of	3	Attorney Docket Number	T3497-8770US01		

Shee	et	2	of	3		Attorney Dock	ket Number	T3497-8770US	01	
			-	U.	S. PAT	ENT DOCUM	NTS			
Examiner Initials	Cite No.1	Documen Number	Kind Code	Publication MM-DD-Y			of Patentee or f Cited Docume	Re	ages, Columns, Lines, Where elevant Pessages or Relevant Figures Appear	
VAS		US- 2001/0	018639 A1	08-30-2001		Bunn				
JA		US- 2001/0	036833 A1	11-01-2001		Koshima et al	•			
IDE		US- 2002/0	005804 A1	01-17-2002		Suprunov				
, pL		US- 2002/0	027512 A1	03-07-2002		Horita et al.		·		
JOE .		US- 2002/0	122003 AI	09-05-2002		Patwari et al.				
7		US-								
		US-								
		US-								
		US-								
		US-								
		US-								
		US-								
		us-								
		US-					· · · -			
		US-								
		US-				- ·				
		US-								
		UŞ-								
		US-								
		US-								
				FOR	EIGN F	ATENT DOC	JMENTS			
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.1	For Office ³	reign Patent Do		Pub	olication Date M-DD-YYYY	Name o	f Patentee or Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	7,4

	FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS								
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.1	F	oreign Patent Do	cument	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	Τ°	
		Office ³	Number ⁴	Kind ³ (if known)		Applicant of Cited Document			
								<u> </u>	
	1	1				·			
					-	:			
			-						

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. ¹ Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ² See Kinds Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. ³ Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (MPO Standard ST.3). ⁴ For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁵ Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. ⁶ Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

Examiner

Signature

Date

Considered

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

PTO/SB/08B (08-03)
Approved for use through 06/30/2006. OMB 0851-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of Information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Substitute for form 1449B/PTO				Complete if Known			
			Application Number	(To be assigned)			
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE			CLOSURE	Filing Date	October 29, 2003		
QTA	STATEMENT BY APPLICANT			First Named Inventor	J. Claude Caci		
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT				Art Unit	(To be assigned)		
(use as many sheets as necessary)			necessary)	Examiner Name	(To be assigned)		
Sheet	3	of	3	Attorney Docket Number	T3497-8770US01		

		OTHER PRIOR ART NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the	
xaminer nitials	Cite No. ¹	item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T²
JÆ		Ian LACY et al.,"Will Location-based Services Be the Next Golden Child?", Location, Location, Kellogg TechVenture 2001 - Location Based Services, April 15, 2001	
joé		"EMS Communications Policy Manual", Montgomery County, Pennsylvania, Department of Public Safety, Division of Emergency Medical Services, Montgomery County EMS Communications Manual, September 2002.	
105		"Aircraft Rescue and Firefighting Communications", Advisory Circular, U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, July 1, 1999.	
306		Tomi SARVANKO, "Positioning Standards E911, E112 and UMTS"	
JOE		"Wireless Evolution"	
		e .	
			L
			느

Examiner Signature Date Considered 08-11-2005

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not

¹ Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ² Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached. This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 120 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.